

Faculty Curriculum Committee Minutes
Friday, January 18, 2019
Stern 201

Present: Chad Galuska (chair), Andrew Przeworski (secretary), Tom Carroll, Allison Foley, Kameelah Martin, Nenad Radakovic, Wayne Smith, Gabriel Williams

Registrar's Office: Mary Bergstrom, Jerry Mackeldon, Julie Dahl, Franklin Czwazka

Academic Affairs: Lynne Ford

Presentation of the Agenda (Galuska)

Old Business

1. We approved the minutes from the December meeting.
2. All of our December proposals passed the Senate.

New Business

1. Chair's report (Galuska) – We now need to have an agenda prepared a week in advance, for distribution across campus.
2. HNDI (Morrison)
Discussion: none
Modifications: none
Decision: approved
3. SOST (Eichelberger)
Discussion: none
Modifications: we've been given a sample syllabus and an acknowledgment from HIST
Decision: approved
4. EUST (Bodek)
Discussion: EUST 400 will eventually be deactivated
Modifications: they've sent us some modifications. Section G needs a note saying that INTB 360 won't always count.
Decision: approved
5. LING (Martinez-Gibson)
Discussion: question about SLOs that refer to "mastery", and whether that could be achieved by taking only 200-level classes
Modifications: some of the catalog text is redundant
Decision: approved
6. Teacher Education (Veal)
Discussion: none
Modifications: none
Decision: approved

7. GEOL (Callahan)
Discussion: the cross-listed graduate version of 402 is working its way through the process
Modifications: they've sent us some syllabus modifications
Decision: approved
8. ENVT (Welch)
Discussion: discussion about how to best edit the repeatability restriction
Modifications: we've been given acknowledgments from affected departments. The repeatability wording in the 352 catalog description will be removed, leaving only the 8 hour cap. We have updated syllabi.
Decision: approved
9. HPCP (Gilmore)
Discussion: question about how individual assessment is done on group projects.
Modifications: the name of 325 should actually refer to "Community planning", not "Urban planning". Assessment methods are missing in Curriculog, but are on the syllabus. We've been sent revised SLOs.
Decision: approved
10. AAST (Martin)
Discussion: discussion of how to deal with the missing paperwork for the renumbering of 250
Modifications: There's a typo in the AAST 333 catalog description. Based on a suggestion from the RO, we're adding 250 as a prerequisite for 401. When 250 is eventually renumbered to 395, the prerequisite will automatically update.
Decision: approved
11. WGST (De Welde)
Discussion: question about measurability of SLOs
Modifications: they've sent us new SLOs for 350. There was a typo in several of the rationales. WGST 333 had the same typo as AAST 333 did.
Decision: approved
12. ARTM (Chandler)
Discussion: they've addressed our questions
Modifications: some of the curriculog forms don't have assessment methods
Decision: approved
13. BIOL (Pritchard)
Discussion: discussion about process and how to deal with the updated prereqs.
Modifications: they've sent us updated prereqs, but those haven't been vetted by the RO, so the proposal is going to be sent back and hopefully returned to us quickly
Decision: approved
14. CHEM (Riggs-Gelasco)
Discussion: question about which labs are free-standing and which are tied to lecture courses.
Modifications: none

- Decision: approved
15. CITA (Manaris)
Discussion: discussion about the math requirement in the gaming concentration, and why it doesn't currently list MATH 120. It will automatically appear when the overall CITA proposal is approved
Modifications: they've sent us an updated 495 syllabus
Decision: approved
16. EXSC (Pfile)
Discussion: some of the proposals arrived just yesterday. We vote to consider them. Discussion of prerequisite chains and the amount of flexibility in the program should students fall behind
Modifications: none
Decision: approved
17. HONS (Folds-Bennett, Meyer-Bernstein)
Discussion: there was a little confusion because the committee never saw the originators' response to our questions
Modifications: they've sent us some updated syllabi, and there's a catalog note to be removed from one of the proposals
Decision: approved
18. MATH (Przeworski)
Discussion: none
Modifications: none
Decision: approved
19. PSYC (Galuska)
Discussion: question about the number of SLOs. Discussion about how to phrase the prerequisite to be unambiguous.
Modifications: we've received updated SLOs. We're changing the wording of the prerequisite to make it clearer
Decision: approved